



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,774	12/21/2000	Harry J. M. Reijnders	D/A0637	1410	
7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER		
John E. Beck Xerox Corporation			CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
			ADTIBUT	DA DED MANDED	
Xerox Square - 20A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY 14644			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summ			
Office Action Commo		09/746,774	REIJNDERS, HARRY J. M.
Office Action Summa	Exam	niner	Art Unit
		K. Chang	3729
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears of	n th cover sh et with	th correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of if the period for reply specified above is less that if NO period for reply is specified above, the mater a reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within th ximum statutory period will apply a I for reply will, by statute, cause th months after the mailing date of ti	no event, however, may a repl e statutory minimum of thirty (and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 8/25/03 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	<u> </u>	on is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ondition for allowance ex e practice under <i>Ex par</i>	ccept for formal matte te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,11 and 14-2</u>	5 is/are nending in the	annlication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-2</u>	-	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		r consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,11,14-20,24</u>			
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or electi	on requirement.	•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accepted or l	b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that			
11) The proposed drawing correction			approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	•	·.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		ty under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ Noi			
1. Certified copies of the p	priority documents have	been received.	
2.☐ Certified copies of the p	priority documents have	been received in App	olication No
	: International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a		·	
a) The translation of the fore	ign language provisiona	al application has bee	en received.
.ttachment(s)	•		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Roll Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-	eview (PTO-948) 1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sur 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/746,774 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 8/25/03. These drawings are disapproved because the process shown in Fig. 11 is new matter. Fig. 5 is approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 14-20, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure, as originally filed, fails to provide support for "printed circuit board(s)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11, 14-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5,419,038) in view of Latasiewicz (US 4,316,235).

Wang discloses common circuit board (14, 24-1, 26-1 and 30) with a plurality of circuit patterns of a first substrate and a second substrate (Fig. 7), separating the common circuit board (channel formed) after the connecting step, and titling step (Fig. 10).

Wang fails to disclose circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire; scoring the circuit board; holding the separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member.

Latasiewicz discloses circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire (30); scoring the common substrate; holding the separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member (Figs. 1-3) thereby forming a display monitor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang by providing circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire; scoring the common substrate; holding the separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member, as taught by Latasiewicz, for the purpose of forming a display monitor using printed circuits.

Re claim 18: Wang fails to disclose forming a groove at an angle less than 60 degrees.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a groove at an angle less than 60 degrees because Applicant has not disclosed that forming a groove at an angle less than 60 degrees provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with forming a groove at an angle 90 degrees because it would perform the same function of easily severing the boards from each other.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Wang to obtain the invention as specified in claim 18.

1. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5,419,038)/Latasiewicz (US 4,316,235) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Degani et al (US 6,370,766).

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose testing prior to separating.

Degani discloses testing prior to separating (burn-in testing) thereby insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by testing by making at least one connection that is external, as taught by Degani, for the purpose of insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

2. Claims 8-9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5,419,038)/Latasiewicz (US 4,316,235) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,774

Art Unit: 3729

Wang/Latasiewicz fails to disclose pressing or cutting by using an edged tool.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to press or cut by using an edged tool, such as a router, to cleanly separate a plurality of circuit boards from each other.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by pressing or cutting by using an edged tool, as taught by Official Notice, for the purpose of cleanly separating a plurality of circuit boards from each other.

3. Claims 17 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5,419,038)/Latasiewicz (US 4,316,235) as applied to claims 1, 3 and 15 above, and further in view of Feeney (US 3,780,430).

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose housing a circuit board against a sidewall, inserting process and a soldering process.

Feeney discloses housing a circuit board against a sidewall (Fig. 1), inserting process (58) is inserted in a throughole formed in 14) and a soldering process (56) thereby protecting the board against the environment and mechanically and electrically fastening wires.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by housing a circuit board against a sidewall, inserting process and a soldering process, as taught by Feeney, for the purpose of protecting the board against the environment and mechanically and electrically fastening wires.

4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 5,419,038)/Latasiewicz (US 4,316,235) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Degani et al (US 6,370,766).

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose making at least one connection that is external.

Degani discloses making at least one connection that is external (burn-in testing) thereby insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by testing by making at least one connection that is external, as taught by Degani, for the purpose of insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's disclosure fails to provide support for "printed circuit board(s)". It is confusing that the applicant is using the terms "printed circuit board(s)" in instance and in another instance using the terms "printed wiring board(s)".

Wang discloses common circuit board (14, 24-1, 26-1 and 30) with a plurality of circuit patterns of a first substrate and a second substrate (Fig. 7), separating the common circuit board (channel formed) after the connecting step, and titling step (Fig. 10). Wang fails to disclose circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire; scoring the circuit board; holding the separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member. Latasiewicz discloses circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire (30); scoring the common substrate; holding the

Art Unit: 3729

separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member (Figs. 1-3) thereby forming a display monitor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang by providing circuit board that is printed; fastening at least one electrically conductive pre-formed wire; scoring the common substrate; holding the separated substrates in fixed position; connecting a pre-insulated wire; using a holding fixture; holding one of the separated substrates in proximity to one frame member and another one of the separated substrates held in proximity to a second frame member, as taught by Latasiewicz, for the purpose of forming a display monitor using printed circuits.

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose testing prior to separating. Degani discloses testing prior to separating (burn-in testing) thereby insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by testing by making at least one connection that is external, as taught by Degani, for the purpose of insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

Wang/Latasiewicz fails to disclose pressing or cutting by using an edged tool. Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to press or cut by using an edged tool, such as a router, to cleanly separate a plurality of circuit boards from each other. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by pressing or cutting by using an edged tool, as taught by Official Notice, for the purpose of cleanly separating a plurality of circuit boards from each other.

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose housing a circuit board against a sidewall, inserting process and a soldering process. Feeney discloses housing a circuit board against a sidewall (Fig. 1), inserting process (58 is inserted in a throughole formed in 14) and a soldering process (56) thereby protecting the board against the environment and mechanically and electrically fastening wires. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by housing a circuit board against a sidewall, inserting process and a soldering process, as taught by Feeney, for the purpose of protecting the board against the environment and mechanically and electrically fastening wires.

Wang/Latasiewicz fail to disclose making at least one connection that is external. Degani discloses making at least one connection that is external (burn-in testing) thereby insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang/Latasiewicz by testing by making at least one connection that is external, as taught by Degani, for the purpose of insuring that the printed circuit is properly functioning under stress.

Interviews After Final

6. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied</u>. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/746,774

Art Unit: 3729

Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed 7. limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Page 9

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 3729

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC November 4, 2003